UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,992	05/03/2001	Wilfried Lubisch	0050/049500USO1	7169
23492 PAUL D. YASO	7590 03/19/201 GER	EXAMINER		
ABBOTT LAB		STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE		
100 ABBOTT PARK ROAD DEPT. 377/AP6A ABBOTT PARK, IL 60064-6008			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Patents_Abbott_Park@abbott.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/830,992	LUBISCH ET AL.			
Lxanimer-initated interview Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Laura L. Stockton	1626			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>80</u>				
(1) Laura L. Stockton {USPTO}.	(3)				
(2) <u>Susan L. Steele {Reg. No. 64,739}</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>11 March 2010</u>	Time: <u>3:35pm</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicant's representative @3:35pm on Thursday, March 11, 2010 and left a voice mail message indicating that 35 USC 112, second paragraph, problems exist in the claims and was requesting permission to correct the problems by Examiner's Amendment. The Examiner called Applicant's representative again on Friday, March 12, 2010 @5:29pm and left another voice mail message. The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Monday, March 15, 2010 @10:41am. However, at the time of the preparation of the Advisory Action, no return call to the Examiner had been made.					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
/Laura L. Stockton/					
(A _I	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)			